

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 8, 2004

LB 906

and subsection (d) and (e), and I think that we've actually started talking about (e) where there are...we have stated there, "Has successfully completed an examination," which could be written or practical, which would be prescribed or approved by the board to test the applicant's competencies to perform the practices of body art. And again, this would be for the protection of the public, not only as it is included in the second part, which includes the knowledge of safety, sanitation, and sterilization, but also I do believe that when the public wants, let's say, a tattoo, that they expect to have a high quality product, and that is why we just included the competencies. And I think in the article that you all have before you that we all know that there are different levels of competency in any profession, and we did not specifically...we did not make that very specific, but have tried to give some guidance. And in the overall scheme is to protect the public, and we've talked about the transmission of diseases and that's why I know that Senator Bourne feels that the safety and the sanitation and the sterilization techniques are very important in the facility, and we all agree upon that. And we have agreed also that we feel that there should be some level of competency. Senator Bourne, I'd like to ask you a question. Senator Bourne.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Bourne, would you yield to a question from Senator Stuhr?

SENATOR BOURNE: Yes.

SENATOR STUHR: Senator Bourne, on Section 25(e), I believe you and I had a number of conversations talking about the competency. Isn't that...isn't that correct?

SENATOR BOURNE: Yes, Senator Stuhr, that is correct. We did have those conversations.

SENATOR STUHR: And we agreed that we needed to have something in the bill that would state some level of competency. Isn't that correct?

SENATOR BOURNE: Absolutely agree to that, Senator Stuhr.