

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 8, 2004

LB 906

tattoo. Now, it could qualify as a tattoo because it was done in the way that tattoos are done, but the work was very shabby. You don't know whether there was proper sterilization of the implement, whatever it was. You don't know any of that. So do you want to run these young people to what you might call the back-alley body markers and piercers? They are not prohibited under this bill from operating anyway. I'd like to ask Senator Stuhr a question.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Stuhr, would you respond to a question from Senator Chambers?

SENATOR STUHR: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Stuhr, they have...

SENATOR STUHR: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...if Senator Landis was here he'd verify this, they have troubadours and traveling minstrels and others who put everything they've got in a cart and they go around the country and they open it up and they perform. Suppose we had a traveling tattooist with a suitcase, and everything that was needed to do the work is in the suitcase and this person never operates out of a building but on a street corner or any place where people gather.

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That person is not operating a facility, is he,...

SENATOR STUHR: No, he is not.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...if it's a male?

SENATOR STUHR: No, he is not.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And he's not violating the law when he does that, is he?