

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 8, 2004 LB 906

maybe.

SENATOR STUHR: Senator Chambers, I'm not an attorney. Yes. It probably would go to a court.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And the Attorney General would be their lawyer?

SENATOR STUHR: Yes, I would imagine so.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So the Attorney General would go to court and tell the judge that I want an injunction; I want you to order Ernie Chambers to stop doing this because he is practicing, and you'd lay out the law. You'd have what you would consider evidence to show that I'm doing this in violation of the law, without a license, and on the basis of that you would then...when I say "you" I meant the state, would try to get an order from a court shutting me down?

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR STUHR: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Is that what...

SENATOR STUHR: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And, Senator Stuhr, I know it might be difficult for you to answer, so I'm not trying to put you on the spot. I just got the amendment, but there are some things in it and some things not in it that I haven't acquainted myself with yet, so I have to proceed in this fashion.

SENATOR STUHR: And, Senator Chambers, we have been working so hard addressing all of the issues on the other side of trying to get the regulations and all of the wording in relationship to facilities and practitioners and...because that was the thrust of the bill, that we haven't looked at how to handle the other side, I guess. So...but again, I do believe that this amendment will help in the situation that when we are looking at performing...requiring the performance of body art on a person