

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 8, 2004 LR 209

their Cattlemen's Classic. Since the premiums are completely gone from the Nebraska State Fair, nobody can afford to bring cattle down. If you'll notice in that little booklet that the State Fair Board, whoever put it out, on LR 209, they said when you get beyond Grand Island or even to the Kearney area, there are very few people that come down, or very few 4-H and FFA people that come down to participate in the State Fair. So you've worked it down to where it's a local...it's a local fair, is what you're working in, and if that's what we want to put in our state money into, to promote a glorified country fair in the city of Lincoln, then that's the way then you'll have to vote then. Myself, I would like to see the thing run on a businesslike way, use someplace else to promote tourism, bring in trade shows and that sort of thing from other areas. Our population base isn't that big in Nebraska and we need to bring in money from outside. We don't need to trade our dollars around amongst ourselves. And as far as Senator Chambers' amendment, I think it really does make more sense to use it on...that money for some type of health source than it is to fund a State Fair. The money goes...from your gambling and that sort of thing was to be used for charitable and instances in the environment, also for education, that sort of thing, so I think his amendment to this underlying bill is probably more in line than funding the State Fair. So, for that matter, if I supported anything, I would support Senator Chambers' amendment before I would support any of the other ones. Thank you.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Louden. Senator Tyson.

SENATOR TYSON: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senator Chambers' amendment is something that I can't support, but if he wanted to change that from HIV/AIDS to infectious diseases I could support it in a minute. I think that is something that would be ongoing and would actually...and I don't want anyone to start throwing stones or bad rotten fruit at me...it actually could accomplish some long-term good. Now I realize that that's not what those lottery funds are for. The difficulty with Senator Chambers' amendments, although I do like his second amendment, which we will get to which eliminates the lottery, is that it's putting something in the constitution and it's treating the constitution as a statute book, which it is not. I was quite taken by