

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 8, 2004

LR 209

something back and improve their product or improve their livestock and make it better for the future. And I know that there's a lot of fault here, but what really hurt last year is when they took the ribbon money away and the kids came down here wanting to get their ribbon money and there wasn't any for them. So that is a must, to have that back in, or that number is really going to drop some more yet. I think that's going to be the key to keeping the kids coming down here for the State Fair. I know maybe Lincoln is to blame for a lot of this. They've got two fairgrounds here. I know that's not right and they should have had just one and that would have helped a lot. But another thing we need to really do is promote things in-between fairs, so that there's something going on out at the fairground in-between time so that there's revenue coming in when you're not at the fair. So I think that's...with new management in here, let's hope that that's going to happen, so that they can have something going on out there all the time. A lot of people is wondering how this is going to work by taking 5 percent away from education and 5 percent away from the environment. I don't know how many of you realize, but that money goes there, but they have to apply for a grant. And you talk about education. That cannot go to the General Fund in education. That's got to go for a specific thing. And I know out in my area a lot of them had the distant learning put in. The ESUs applied for the grants and got the distant learning, which helped a lot of schools and not just one or two schools there; that one time they helped every one of them in the whole ESU; that 16 schools got hooked up with that at one time. So that's...I think that's...a lot of people don't realize that, but you've got to have grants in order to get the money when it does go there. And when you take that 5 percent out, you come down from 49.5 down to 44.5, and you have 1 percent still is there for addicts, just the same as it was before. But like I said before, I've never supported gambling. I didn't even support the bill clear back when we had the lottery the first year I was here. The lottery was voted in the year that I got elected, and then we had to make a decision here to how to handle it. But anyway, this is readjusting it and I think we get the most use out of it we possibly can, because we do have it in the state and that's the way we can use it for that, besides environment, and besides education, and use it for all three of them. And I think that's what we really need to