

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 8, 2004

LR 209

you know I stand willing to be corrected on this, but maybe 400,000, 450,000 people came, and that's over several days, so that's not...that may be some of the same people. Those aren't necessarily...those are an attendance count; not necessarily how many individual Nebraskans came. So several of those people are the same people coming back every day because they have an interest in an exhibit or something that they're participating in. So we're making a fundamental decision here to divert \$2 million. We're diverting \$2 million from education and the environment, that serves everyone, to a bunch of money that serves a very narrow purpose and a small group. And we also know...and, Senator Landis, I appreciated your candor on this, that even if we provide this \$2 million, it doesn't necessarily mean it's going to save the State Fair. There are huge problems and some of it has to do, perhaps, with how much money they've had to operate with since they didn't...don't have the gambling money anymore. But other things have to do with changes in society and patterns in what people do, and other activities that are closer to home that people choose to attend and not necessarily because they want to attend the State Fair. So my question continues to be, number one, why would we want to divert this money from these two purposes that serve everyone to a narrow purpose? Two, we can't really say that even doing that is enough to save the State Fair because of historical trends, not only...and I know I've cited this over the last few days so I don't want to go back over this same material...but the historical trends that are happening in our state and several of our surrounding states with fairs. I believe that people are very sincere in their love of this institution, but there are things that we do governmentally that take a higher need, that are a higher need. Whenever it comes to these quality of life issues, particularly when we're facing such a big budget crisis now, we can't put those ahead of the fundamental core things that we should be doing as a state. And if we're going to start doing that, then you can expect everyone else trying to do other things with this lottery money. And maybe this year we should have said, you know, we had 30 children die due to abuse and neglect in this state in the last couple years, a record high; maybe this should have gone to prevention efforts. We could say maybe it should go to other purposes that are out there. That would be my top purpose. But we should be doing things that