

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 5, 2004

LB 479

going to have a choice to decide that. I do, however, appreciate the willingness of Senator Bourne to begin in this discussion. And I do also have concerns. The appearance of what this intends to do is laudable, and that is, we need to ensure that those who are going to qualify are going to qualify under strict guidelines, that we need to do that. And I'll be the first to say that, yeah, that was right. Three years ago, we were led down this path, and we were told, no, don't do that. We were told, set it at 100,000 gallons for a reason. And it was a valid reason, and it was given to us by the Chair of the committee at the time. That's what the Legislature adopted. The offer that Senator Baker has put before us was offered at that time as well. Said, no, we're not doing that either, because we want to allow the industry to grow in this state. We want to recognize that where the corn production is great and where there's an opportunity to refine a product such as a commodity as corn and produce it into a high-quality product such as distiller's grain and feed it to cattle industry or to the cattle themselves, let's do that. That's the course that we started down. Now, if the discussion today is, we started down that path, it's going to benefit me, let's stop, we can do that. And in my humble opinion, that appears what we're trying to accomplish with AM2713. If we as a state want to say, let's stay the course, let's recognize the obligation we've placed on ourself and let's also recognize that there's no guarantee that everybody's concern is going to be a reality. So AM2713, to me, is this: Let's protect those big plants and let's have those representatives of those areas stand up and say, we need to do this, at the expense of those who will never have the opportunity to provide this economic benefit to their residents and to their area of the state. And it's really a trade-off. Because if rural Nebraska grows, if rural Nebraska develops, if rural Nebraska continues to seek out opportunities like this, if it is continually met with opposition, that means one thing for our friends in urban Nebraska--you'll get to send us more money in state aid, you'll get to send us more money in other areas of government that you wouldn't have to if we were growing and producing and being as productive as we possibly can be. So without further clarification of AM2713, this is a step in the wrong direction. This is a step backwards. This is a step directly against...