

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 5, 2004

LB 479

Baker, and we're trying to come up with some language. But there's four plants that will, more than likely, get credits under the Ethanol Development Act. And what this says, for those contracts signed under the Employment Investment Growth Act, no ethanol facility receiving benefits under the Ethanol Development Plan, those four, shall be eligible for benefits under the Employment and Investment Growth Act, unless they are producing at a rate of 15 million gallons or more. So what I'm...so those plants that are receiving ethanol credits under LB 536 cannot later on receive LB 775 credits for any expansion. And I'm trying to get the number of plants that are already in existence that might not be receiving credits under LB 536, that might want to expand later. And Senator Baker said there's a plant up in northeast Nebraska--and maybe Senator Cunningham would know where that is--that's producing a few million gallons a year. And what...if they're not receiving money out of the EPIC, then maybe this isn't a problem. But I'm not sure. So I'm struggling with the language, and I really can't seem to get any answers. I'm trying to figure out how many plants that actually impacts, and that information is coming. So I'm struggling as to what to do. I don't want to cut off an incentive to expand an ethanol plant that's going to help rural Nebraska. And yet, I'm in sympathy with Senator Baker that we want to tighten up the provisions of LB 536. But it seems to me what we're doing is, we've got the four plants that were built by largely non-Nebraska people, and these plants that might squeak under the wire prior to June 30 are our Nebraska people. We had...Senator Erdman had a bill to extend the deadline by a year, and I guess he had a constituent or somebody from that area who's trying to come under the wire. This would...this language would impact that plant. There was somebody from Ravenna who testified on one of these bills that I guess they're smoothing the ground but they're still waiting for financing. It could be that that plant is going to be impacted by this provision. But again, I'm not sure that we should say, you can't expand and you can't be "incented" to expand for this particular industry. We don't do that anywhere else that I know of. If a particular manufacturing plant wants to expand and makes the investment sufficient that they trigger LB 775, we don't say, you can't get it because it's this particular type of industry. So I'm looking...I'm waiting for some numbers of how