

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 5, 2004

LR 209

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And Senator Brashear, without going into the specific things, would you agree that there are some things in the constitution, because of their nature, that ought not be there? Even though they're there and the public can use initiative petitions to put them there?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Yes, I would.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do you think that everything a Legislature has the authority to do the Legislature ought to do?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Once again, Senator Brashear, you have reconfirmed my belief and faith in your intelligence and wisdom. Thank you. That's all I will ask you. Members of the Legislature, I want to go back to the idea of the types of things that I personally feel ought not be in the constitution. I have stated on other occasions, and I'll restate it in case nobody heard me, I believe the constitution is unduly cluttered right now with things that ought not to be there. This item that is being contemplated should not be there either. I would like to ask Senator Landis a question directly on point with reference to this bill and the amendment that was just adopted. Senator Landis, is some of this money going to be earmarked for a publicity campaign in behalf of the State Fair, if this that we're considering is passed and some of that lottery money goes to the State Fair Board?

SENATOR LANDIS: (Microphone malfunction) No, there is no earmark...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Excuse me a second. They didn't turn your mike on yet.

SENATOR ENGEL: Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: There is no earmarking in the legislation.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: What did the State Fair Board people, if you talked to any of them, or Mr. Radcliffe, if you talked to him,