

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 5, 2004

LR 209

amendment, we're going to continue to take it up, and we're going to take up an issue for an institution in the state--and I accept that--that we're going to say, that's the priority for an infusion of money. Not because it's the most important thing out there, but because they were willing to go through the legislative process to try to get us to do it. Now if everybody came in and tried to divert the lottery money--and we have...you know, I give them credit for cooking this idea up--we should have, for every year coming forward, a group that's going to want a piece of the action. Now we can do that legislatively. We can do that legislatively. The problem is, if you start doing that, if you move from education and environment, that was a pact made at the time with the people. So now we're saying we have to go back and ask them if they want to spend the money on the State Fair. And the State Fair should be coming through the budget process, not trying to divert money from education and environment, which we have had as the tradition in this area. So now we want to say to the people--and I think this is the gravity of the issue--put the money in the State Fair, and that's a good idea, that's a good place to go with the money. I don't know if it is. I don't know, and I haven't seen in the time I've been in the Legislature and the time I've been on Appropriations Committee, any plan that said, if you put \$2 million a year into the State Fair forever, or as long as there are lottery funds to do that, that it will revitalize the State Fair. Now maybe it will fix up some buildings. Maybe there will be some more advertising. But I have not seen a specific proposal saying \$2 million is the amount that's needed. I'm not sure that's going to be a fact. And I urge all of you to go back and read your study that was done from our own Legislative Research Office. And it's one of these colorful covers. But it's from December 2002. And I'm sure if you don't have it in your office, if you are elected since then, we can get you a copy of it. That is when the...an outside study was done, with some limitation on what they could talk about and cover. It was determined by the Program Evaluation Committee...

SENATOR ENGEL: One minute.

SENATOR THOMPSON: ...the parameters of that. But we are fighting a situation where it isn't necessarily going to make