

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 4, 2004

LR 209

last report I saw, it wasn't a whole lot that was coming in. People aren't attending it. They have lots of other things to do. It needs a huge infusion. So I would challenge Senator Landis, I don't know where the \$2 million came from, but I wonder if even \$2 million a year is enough to save the State Fair, when we know for a fact that the building renovation--this isn't the new building we were talking about a few years ago, and an effort was made to have an allocation from our capital fund to be able to do that in the regular appropriations bill, the request for extra money from LB 309, which is for all state projects, this is what the report told us four years ago. So what's it going to take to save the State Fair? I think you've got to decide, before you vote for this amendment, is even \$2 million a year going to be enough, with all that is needed to raise it up, including all the facility needs? The reason, quite simply, that we're not considering this as a statutory change, is there probably aren't the votes. It's very hard for us, especially when the public voted for the lottery on the basis of education and the environment, to change it. And Senator Landis, I agree that that's why you can't go statutorily, that you go to a vote of the people, probably because it just wouldn't happen. But I think we have a responsibility here to look at the bigger picture for the State Fair. And we have some obligation in putting an amendment out...or a constitutional amendment out. First of all, I don't agree that this should be in the amendment, how the funds are allocated. I think that's the responsibility of the Legislature. But I'm not even sure that \$2 million a year will save the State Fair. I'm not. It needs a lot more than that just for the facilities themselves. So I don't think this is a good idea to put this out for a vote of the people. I don't. I think we need...we've been asking for years for a business plan. We've got something along that line that keeps coming forward.

SENATOR VRTISKA: One minute.

SENATOR THOMPSON: I've seen several of those over the years coming to Appropriations. I have a strong support for the environment and education. And I don't think it's right to divert it for this purpose. And once we go down this road once, what's to keep everyone else coming in, trying to save a