

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 4, 2004

LR 209

(Inaudible)

SENATOR RAIKES: I knew the yes, but I didn't have all the embellishments, and that's (inaudible).

SENATOR LANDIS: That's right. It's...I'm delighted to answer questions about perhaps my opinion or something that you may not know. But to state the obvious, that's okay, but I bet you know the answer.

SENATOR RAIKES: Well, and actually I was confused about that, because I was looking in the constitution for specification that lottery money had to be used for either education or environment, and I didn't find that. Now, did I look in the wrong place?

SENATOR LANDIS: No. If you're looking in the existing provision, you're right, it isn't there.

SENATOR RAIKES: So it certainly would be possible for the Legislature, by adopting a statute, a bill, to redirect lottery money to perhaps any one of a number of uses, including the State Fair, if I understood you correctly. So...and this is...I don't think I've heard you say this, but really, the only purpose for a constitutional amendment is to get a referendum, or to get a vote of the people on this issue? Is it really just a poll that we're conducting? Please.

SENATOR LANDIS: You bet. I can think of at least three reasons why it's a good idea. One, this enshrines in constitutional language exactly what you find missing from the constitution, which is the basis upon which the original lottery was passed. The original lottery was passed with an assurance to the public the money would go to education and the environment. And as you pointed out, the constitution doesn't say it, and we can rob the money for any purpose we want. So a goal would be to keep faith with our word. I think Senator Thompson raised that point here. So that's one goal.

SENATOR RAIKES: If I could interrupt you just a second, before you get on to two and three--and I'll let you have the time