

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 4, 2004

LR 209

just kind of frame that discussion as we go forward. The first thing is we're going to put, if this is true, this is our responsibility of putting an issue to the vote of the people, we're going to suggest to the people of Nebraska that the lottery funds not be statutory, not be directed through our process and in balance with the things...the needs of the state and with, quite frankly, what the people voted when they voted for the lottery was with the promise and a discussion at that time was that it would go for education and environment. But we're going to take that from a statutory process and put it into the constitution. I think that's a weighty decision we need to make in regard to this bill because this is heading down a path that I'm not sure we want to go, because systems change, things change, and I think we should have that authority with the Legislature. So I would just say that for openers. The second I would say is I've learned a lot about the State Fair since I've been in the Legislature. It's been an issue of controversy since I got here in 1998. We've had studies, business plans, hearings. We've been over this and over this and over this, and it comes down to a couple of things. How much taxpayer money do we want to put toward the State Fair? Those are issues that have come up in the Appropriations Committee. Much to my surprise, I did not know this, that when people get those prizes for the fair, those blue ribbons and purple ribbons, many of you probably know this, but when I...I did not know that people got money in addition to the purple ribbons and the blue ribbons. And in recent years, that money has been taxpayer money. And this year on a 5 to 4 vote, and who knows where that's going to go as time goes on in the appropriations process, but on a 5 to 4, we put money back in to give the fair money this year for that prize money. Now, obviously, for those of you who know me and my position on this, I have not supported that. And it's not that I'm being mean to the fair or that I don't agree with Senator Cudaback that it's a wonderful place to go and be and take families. It's a line that you have to draw as state senators over what you do for those "quality of life issues, for the feel good sorts of things that you do for the society, and the absolute rock bottom need of state government." Whether we're providing enough people to take care of the veterans at the veterans homes, whether we have enough prison guards, whether we're funding education to the