

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 4, 2004

LB 962

you're either going to give it "l," or you're going to take an "1," but it should be the same throughout. My suggestion is that in the interest of honoring the tradition that has been built up in this state over I don't know how many years in this water legislation, where "canceled" has one "l," it would be better to honor tradition, leave it untouched, and go to the new kid on the block, who's trying to give extra "l," and take away one of those "l's" and spell the word in the new proposed language in the way that it already is spelled in the existing law. If you missed the reference that I gave you, I'll give it again. On page 10 of the committee amendment, in line 12, you will find the word "canceled" with one "l." It doesn't matter to me really which alternative you adopt, but we ought to be consistent. Since I found these different spellings in the proposed language, I would rather do it that way. And it simplifies my job, and it makes it unnecessary to try to go through the statute and find where it's spelled with one "l" and change all those to double "l." That's what this amendment does. In three places, identified in the amendment that I'm offering, "cancelled" with two "l's" would be stricken and "canceled" with one "l" would be inserted in its place. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. You've heard the opening on FA1526. Open for discussion on that motion by Senator Chambers. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Cudaback, members of the Legislature, I want to talk a little bit about the Appropriations Committee and the process we're in, not in a great amount of detail, but just to throw out conceptually some things that, you know, very much relate to this bill. We have to come up with some new money, or make some cuts. And the Chairman has been very fair about this entire process. The first thing we did was let everybody throw in their ideas. And we're still in the process of gathering and sorting through ideas, not, at this point, with any idea of cutting any out, but trying to keep an open mind to everything and to all combinations of things. But there are certain kinds of things that almost have to happen if we're going to insist upon \$10 million to \$12 million of new programs. And one of those likely things is that we will cut more money