

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 4, 2004 LB 962

to go up another cent only if they use it for this water, overappropriated, or something like that. So my NRDs, it probably wouldn't affect them. They probably wouldn't go up any up there.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Because they're not at all involved in any of this?

SENATOR JONES: Right. Right. Of course, I got parts of seven NRDs,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR JONES: ...so the edges of it would be.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yeah. Okay. Thank you, Senator.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Mr. President, members, I haven't been following this too close this morning, except...so I want to throw in a little bit of comments about the dollars. I...the way I'm sensing, it's still about whether we should be using General Fund money, or even perhaps Environmental Trust money for this particular support of this legislation. But I want to reiterate the importance that I believe that this legislation has. The Supreme Court, the comments going on right now, a hearing, that is probably going to complicate this issue if...or help it, I don't know which. But it's showing that...I think, that this bill is extremely critical to have on the books. It was also called to my attention this morning that Idaho for the last 15 years has spent \$100 million in litigation costs. All I'm bringing that up for, \$2.5 million is pretty paltry, compared to what we could potentially run into with court costs. And court costs, by and large, come out of General Fund. So in many ways this, I believe, can be considered preventive. Perhaps not preventive maintenance, but at least doing some things that need to be done, research and other things, to avoid costly, costly, costly litigation in the future. And you look back at some of the lawsuits that we've spent money on, some of