

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 4, 2004

LB 962

you'll recall that in the livestock waste program the Natural Resources Committee approved 8 to 0 fees on livestock in a total of about \$220,000. And I had cited that, of course, as an example of the principle of the user paying at least part of the cost of the program being established even within the Natural Resources Committee, and even with regard to the agricultural community. Getting further information from the Fiscal Office, the Fiscal Office indicates that when everything is said and done in the livestock waste program, fees on users, fees on the livestock per head will pay for about 30 percent of that regulatory program. If we did the same thing, if we did the same thing with this program, you could do that for a \$30 to \$35 fee. And I wanted to further point out that that...that a fee on the water, on wells, would not generally even hit the livestock people again. They would be mostly separate. Because under the fees that would be proposed for water, we would not tax livestock wells, we would not put a fee on livestock wells, so those would be set aside, and we would not put a fee on what are called domestic wells, that is, the thousands and thousands of folks who live out there in the rural area have their own little wells for drinking water and household uses, and livestock wells. We would not put the fee on those. So the only fees in the rural communities would be on the irrigation wells. And by and large, livestock people don't have irrigation wells. That's not to say that here and there in a lot of places they don't have one. But a lot of them have none at all, and mostly they would just have one. So we would be doing for essentially the grain producers the same thing we've already done for the livestock producers. I would settle for 30 percent. That's at least within the range of reason. But not to do anything at all is not, in my opinion at least, within the range of reason. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Schrock.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Mr. President, I just want to state that I support the Chambers amendment.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Schrock. (Visitors introduced.) On with discussion of the Chambers amendment, Senator Erdman.