

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 4, 2004

LB 962

land under such appropriation." When we come down to line 23, the language says: Each owner of the appropriation or of the land under which the appropriation shall...under...of the appropriation shall have 30 days, and so forth. We should make it clear that the notice or the time frame is granted not just to either the owner of the appropriation or the owner of the land under the appropriation, but both parties who may be involved and have an interest would be treated the same way in this instance as in the language above where the notice is served. So that is a correction to the amendment, and Senator Schrock has expressed agreement, so I will not spend more time on that. But I want the record to be clear that a substitute amendment has been offered which corrects the deficiencies in the original amendment that I presented. When we get to other divisions in this bill, I will not have nearly as many amendments to offer. This first division is new language and it's written in such a way that problems can creep in when drafting is being done. I want to correct as much of that as I can. The only amendment I've offered which might be considered genuinely substantive, which nevertheless does not change the thrust of the bill, is this one that we're working on where two involved parties should be treated the same way. Rather than saying one or the other, both will be included. If you have any questions, I will answer them. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Further discussion on the substituted amendment? Senator Erdman.

SENATOR ERDMAN: Thank you, Mr. President. Members of the Legislature, I also want to thank all the committee Chairs for the committees I serve on, for deciding that they will no longer have Exec Sessions during this bill, so I can be a part of this discussion. It has been interesting to catch bits and pieces of the last day and half of debate, and so I'm glad to have the opportunity now to devote the full time. I'd also like to thank Senator Ernie "Noah Webster" Chambers for his repeated efforts to fix our statutes to be grammatically correct. But in all seriousness, I don't have a problem with the division. I think that it probably could have been done in less than 12 or 15, but I think it's appropriate for us to divide an issue like this to have the opportunity to have more discussion, and I think that's