

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 3, 2004

LB 962

"determination" is plural. I don't see any harm that would be done by leaving it the way it is in the bill. I don't want my amendments to become nit-pickish. And this particular one that I was offering, had I left it the way it was in the original form, could easily be viewed that way. So rather than offer an amendment which may move away from what the bill is attempting to do, and changing language which as it stands will not produce any harm, I change what it is that I'm asking of you. So I have simplified the amendment. And I'm aware that most of my colleagues may not have read it, may not be paying attention, may not see the need to, because all of the amendments that I have offered are innocuous and of a corrective nature, with reference to language, rather than affecting or modifying the thrust of the bill. But nevertheless, I want the record to be clear as to why what we will vote on is different from what I originally described and explained. Now that I've done that, I can go back into the discussion which Senator Schrock and Senator Beutler have been engaging in and which the "Squire" regrets that we must go into, and that is the funding. There are matters that I believe ought to be funded from the General Fund. I believe the court system should be funded by General Fund appropriations because the court system serves society and is there to fulfill a very important function. It should not be a cash and carry system. Never should a court system rely on fees derived from the operation and function of the courts themselves. So I have always opposed increasing court costs or fees of any kind which are designed to generate revenue. However, in recent years, I have softened that position, I have backtracked from that principled position, I have backslidden from that position and, Madam President, were I a religious person, I would say, forgive me for I have sinned. Now when we attempt on this floor, probably with the help of the "Squire," probably with the help of Senator Schrock, to reduce the appropriation for the Supreme Court, for the court system, I'm going to battle them tooth and nail. And that's why we might have a special session for the budget. I do not think that this water bill is as important as properly and adequately funding the court system. So you can say if you want to I'm creating moving targets, but really I'm not. I'm just mentioning where some of my focus is going to be when we start talking about building a budget and cuts are to be made. All of us, I'm sure,