

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 3, 2004

LB 962

agriculture and for municipalities. And I would suggest to you that agriculture is probably going to end up sharing the shortage before municipalities will. And that's probably the way it should be. But it's a statewide...it's truly a statewide issue. And if it doesn't have funding, it's not going to work. And I think it's important that the \$2.5 million from General Fund is there. If it isn't there, it doesn't work. I just can't, at this point in time, accept a tax on irrigation wells in addition to the personal property taxes that we pay on irrigation equipment. And I would admit, the tax on siphon tubes isn't too high. But there's fewer and fewer siphon tubes being used in this state. Matter of fact, my sons would like to have me pass a bill that would outlaw siphon tubes, because it's very inefficient irrigation. But truly the municipalities of Omaha and Lincoln use a lot of water. And we want that to continue. I have never heard anybody say municipalities should be short of water. But there are places in this state where water is going to be allocated, and it's going to be the farmer that's going to...farmers that are going to share the shortage. So, we're blessed with a lot of water. And we shouldn't be fighting over it. We should be deciding how to equitably deal with shortages in certain areas of the states...in the state. And I think that's what this bill is about. It's how do we equitably share the shortages in certain parts of the state. And those shortages have shown up the fastest in the Panhandle and in the southwest part of the states...state, and that's where it needs to be addressed. Thank you for your time. Senator Beutler, if you want some of my time, I'll give it to you. I think we need this bill, Senator Beutler, I really do. And I think you feel that way too. And I wish we could be on the same...a little closer to the same page. And I don't think we're asking for a lot. We're not asking for the moon. The task force wanted \$4.8 million the first year, and there was quite a discussion about whether we should continue with the provision of the task force if only \$2.5 million was going to be allotted, because after the hearings in the Revenue Committee about the dedicated sales tax source, I told them, I says, this isn't going happen. I told you that last fall, that the Appropriations Committee would not dedicate a sales tax revenue source to this. But they still went on, and they asked me to introduce the bill. And like a good soldier, I did. And I sat