

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 3, 2004

LB 962

SENATOR BEUTLER: So it is your intention that it wouldn't be used or couldn't be used, for example, unless the Department of Natural Resources declared it overappropriated or fully appropriated, and required additional implementation by the natural resource districts. That would be the only situation where that fund could be used?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Right. That would be correct.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. And the NRD fund then would be exempted from...that new money, in the amount of 1 cent, would be...would be exempted from the levy limitation. So they'd go up from 4.5 to 5.5, if they were involved in this sort of activity?

SENATOR SCHROCK: That's correct.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. And would it be exempted from a budget levy, in addition...

SENATOR SCHROCK: Yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...to that? Yes. Okay. Is it...is it anticipated that, in addition to these funds, Senator, it's still anticipated that additional money would be required from the Environmental Trust and/or the federal government, in addition to the monies that we're spending here?

SENATOR SCHROCK: If there's a way to source other funds and they can access them, they would be free to do that.

SENATOR BEUTLER: So they're going to need more money than what is set out in this plan explicitly.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Well, we're headed down a path that we don't know where it's going to lead us, but we know it's going to take some money, and I think...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR SCHROCK: ...to predict what's going to be needed a year from now or two years from now would be folly on my part. But I