

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 3, 2004

LB 962

SENATOR BEUTLER: I mean you weren't...

SENATOR SCHROCK: ...yeah.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...you weren't anticipating increasing those amounts above what was already being allocated, or were you?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Those are always been areas that have been vulnerable to cuts by the Governor and they thought that if they raised, I believe the rate was five-tenths of 1 percent of the sales tax will be dedicated for water, which would generate about \$11 million, and that would give four and a half...four point seven or eight million for the water task force, and the remaining part of that dedicated fund would be used to be a dedicated source of funding for the Resource Development Fund and, I believe, the Soil...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR SCHROCK: ...and Water Conservation Fund.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Chambers, on your amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I believe there is agreement on this amendment too, but I have found what I was looking for and I can ask Senator Schrock, if he would turn to page 20 of the committee amendment.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Schrock.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Schrock, having touched on this earlier, I feel that I'm justified in bringing it up now, even though I said I'm going to try to stick to my corrective amendments. In line 4, this is what the language will say starting there: For any appropriation with a priority date earlier than 1958 but for which either the appropriator has failed to comply with the requirements of subsection (1) of this section or a map or plat requested (sic) by such subsection has