

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 3, 2004

LB 962

Water Conservation Fund, the Resource Development Fund. When the budget gets time, those are the ones we slash big time. And it's time we start taking care of our resources, and I think this is the time to at least make a good faith effort. And I know, I know it pains the fairy godfather and the rest of you on the Appropriations Committee, but I think this is so important, that there are other areas that might have to be cut just a little bit. And I don't know what they are. That's a tough balancing act you do in the Appropriations Committee, and I don't envy you at all. All I do know is, without irrigation, this state would be in big trouble as far as the ag situation is. In my area of the state, it means the difference between 200-bushel corn and 20-bushel corn. It means the difference between harvesting a crop and just calling the federal crop insurance guy and collecting your insurance check.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Well, you're not suggesting if we don't have this bill we won't have irrigation anymore, are you?

SENATOR SCHROCK: No, I'm not,...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay.

SENATOR SCHROCK: ...but I'm suggesting that the irrigation water policy in this state will be relegated to more chaos if we don't pass this bill.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. I noticed in the...in the recommendations of the policy committee that they included in their trust fund...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...money that was already being allocated to the Resources Development Fund, and the Soil and Water Conservation Fund, and the water...and the Watersheds Flood Control Fund. If we were already spending money on those areas, why was it desirable to raise more money for that same purpose?

SENATOR SCHROCK: I think their...