

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 3, 2004

LB 962

attention, and we can decide whether such decisions ought not to be challenged. When I was reading it, I couldn't figure why a person should not be able to go to court to challenge that, if anything else in the bill is challengeable. And that's all the time of Senator Schrock's that I will take. And I want to thank him for giving me what he gave me.

SENATOR JANSSEN: Senator Schrock, you have about two minutes left. Senator Schrock waives. Senator Chambers, I see no further lights on. You can close on your division.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes. Since it's 11:47, are we going to go to my next amendment after this one, before we take a break?

SENATOR JANSSEN: We don't have another amendment at this point.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, I've got them here. And if we are...if there were another amendment up there that we'd go to, I would send it up. And in the meantime, I will begin my closing. What I am discussing now is the final amendment that I have on page 1. I was mentioning this intent language. If my colleagues have paid any attention, they will see that other places where I will show the existence of intent language are unnecessary, those repetitions. But maybe the body will feel that it should stay. It's almost like saying, I intend to--speaking of the Legislature--I intend to protect the state's supply of water so that the public welfare will be ensured; then 20 pages later, I intend to protect the state's water supply so that the public health and welfare are ensured; then farther along, I intend to protect the state's water supply in order that the health, welfare, and happiness of the citizens shall be seen after. All of that's not necessary. Sometimes intent language is of value if you're dealing with an area of the law that may become subject to litigation, as this undoubtedly will. So if this first declaration of the Legislature's intent is exhaustive enough--and I don't know of anything they left out--we should get rid of some of those others. But maybe when I make offerings farther down the line to do that, the body will disagree and feel that if you are repetitious people might think you really mean what you said. I would like to be more efficient than repeating it all. I would like to say, there is