

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 3, 2004

LB 962

within one sub...in one section, or if we break a subsection into sub-subsections. But I haven't gotten there yet. When I get through with this page, you will see that it would have been possible to put all of the amendments that I've proposed and that have been adopted on one sheet of paper. But because of the fact that sometimes there has to be explanatory language...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...when you're amending existing law, such as, strike, show as stricken, that page of amendments might be longer than the text of the first page of the committee amendments. But the one that I'm offering at this point is simple and straightforward, and I hope you will adopt it.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. You've heard the opening on FA1518, offered by Senator Chambers to the first division of the divided committee amendments. Open for discussion on that motion. Senator Chambers, your light is next.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Mr. President, I see that Senator Schrock is not occupied, so I would like to ask him a question that is going to go to a substantive matter.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Schrock.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Schrock--and this is not to try to commit you to anything, but just for the purpose of discussion--if when we get all the way over to 105, 106, and 107, mainly 106 and 107, where we're talking about dispute resolution, if that alternative process which does not result in a binding decision were removed from the bill, what substantive harm would that do?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Okay, clarify yourself, Senator Chambers. What do you want to remove from the bill?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: There are two ways to resolve a dispute.

SENATOR SCHROCK: All right.