

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 3, 2004

LB 962

difficult to understand. But it is one of those where the placement of a word changes the meaning of what I think is actually intended. The other day, I was interested in offering an amendment--I think it was a National Guard bill--and Senator Schimek thought that the sentence would be too long, and it was about three lines, but it would have been too long. I want to read a sentence from this bill. And Senator Schimek is not listening, because she is otherwise occupied. But she doesn't have to listen to the words, just be aware of how long it is that I'm reading. This is one sentence, I believe. The Legislature finds...and with the amendments that have been adopted: The Legislature finds that it is a public purpose of the state to properly develop the water and related land resources of the state and that it is in the public interest to provide financial assistance to programs and projects essential to the development, preservation, and maintenance of the state's water and related land resources, including programs and projects for the abatement of pollution, reduction of potential flood damages, reservation of lands for resource development projects, provisions of public irrigation facilities, preservation and development of fish and wildlife resources, protection and improvement of public lands, provision of public outdoor recreation lands and facilities, provision and preservation of the waters of the state for all beneficial uses, including domestic, agricultural, and manufacturing uses, conservation of land resources, and protection of the health, safety, and general welfare of the people and to provide financial assistance to resources districts in the preparation of management plans pursuant to this act. One sentence. Now, if that three-line sentence that I wanted to construct in Senator Schimek's bill was too heavy for the slender intellects of most people who were reading them, you know that this is going to cause some strokes, and people will have to get themselves a bottle of water, Senator Schimek, and stop in the middle and take a drink and rest before they proceed. Well, I don't want to just be critical. I want to help try to bring a bit of coherence and rationality out of what I've just read. And I'm going to do it not by rewriting everything, not by placing, replacing commas, even though I think that ought to be done. But in an upcoming amendment, I will do it in a way that is done throughout the statute when we have a series of items