

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 2, 2004

LB 962

me that I will pause and read that word. Then if there is a word in that definition, then I will go look that word up. By the time I'm through, I forgot what the original word I was looking up. I'm sure Senator Combs' mind is like a steel trap. She latches onto that first word and nothing can make her let it go till she is through with it. Since I function the way I do, I can only deal with the types of amendments I'm offering until I'm through with them, and then I will go to something else. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Beutler, on the Chambers amendment.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Cudaback, Senator Schrock, on page 92, if I could direct your attention there, and I'm looking at subparagraphs (7) and (8). In subparagraph (8), it speaks of within 45 days after a natural resources district's final hearing pursuant to subsection (7), the natural resources district shall decide certain things like whether it should terminate a stay on certain water wells, and a series of fairly important questions. You've referred to the final hearing pursuant to subsection (7), and when you go up into subsection (7), it says within 90 days after a final determination by the department that a river basin is fully appropriated, the affected natural resources district may hold, may hold one or more public hearings. Down below in (8) it seems to assume that there will be a public hearing, but in subsection (7) it says it "may" hold a public hearing. Is that intended? Am I reading that right in the first place, I guess...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Schrock.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...I should ask. And, secondly, if I am, it appears to be intended that there will be at least one or more public hearings. And if that's the case, should that "may" be "shall"?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Schrock.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Senator Beutler, I can't answer you right off