

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 2, 2004

LB 962

consume the water. And I don't know what the number is I've heard, but probably about 95 percent of the water in this state is consumed by agriculture, irrigators. Henceforth, you'll see a heavy makeup of irrigators on the task force. And they're the ones that are agreeing with this legislation, and they're the ones that are going to be the...have the potential to be regulated. But it's also...if there's one thing I heard once, I heard it several times by members of the committee: I not only want water for myself, I want there to be water there when my grandchildren are there trying to farm the land. And certainly that's my case. I want water to be there 50 years from now when my grandchildren are probably going to be involved in this farming operation.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Schrock. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator...

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Beutler, this is your third time.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Bromm, members of the Legislature. Senator Schrock, if I could again exchange information from you. I would yield to Senator Schrock for purposes of answering questions. I want to go back, just to clarify the Platte River situation. The Platte River is going to be declared, or deemed, over-appropriated if it's subject to an interstate cooperative agreement. And you've indicated that it is subject to, whatever "subject to" may mean. I notice it's not capitalized in any way. And let me repeat the question. Does "subject to" mean under a legally binding agreement?

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Schrock.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Yes, it is a legally binding agreement. It's called the memorandum agreement on the Platte River. River.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. So when you say "subject to," you mean that...

SENATOR SCHROCK: It's an interstate...it's...