

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 2, 2004

LB 962

issue you just talked about, about the Water Review Board. Let me tell you, I have never dealt with more...with 48 other more dedicated people in my life when it comes to water issues. And I think the one thing they all talked about is that...is the fact that this issue has been tried to be addressed before and it's always failed. Any substantive changes in this bill that has not gone in front of the Water Policy Task Force will meet with some pretty tough criticism. Now I don't have a problem with constructively changing a bill, but if we're going to change the makeup of this Water Review Board that the Governor appoints, I can tell you they spent hours and negotiated considerable amount of time on this in the task force on how that should be made up and who would be on it. And I believe some of the people on there, they can't be people that live within the boundaries where the dispute is taking place. It might be okay for me to say, sure, I can accept another person, but it might not sit well with task force members. And so I think, you know, if there's something wrong with the bill and we can find it and fix it, boy, more power to you or anybody else on this body, and I'll be very receptive. But to make any substantive changes, and I'm not saying one person to that would be substantive, but it might...it might upset the fragile balance that this has come with. Because we never voted on anything in this task force, but the...but the person who was directing traffic, the mediator, the facilitator, always said, can we live with this? And, you know, when you got 49...when you've got 49 members on there and they all come from different perspectives on water, and I can tell you in my own legislative district every farmer has a little different wrinkle on what should be done with water, it gets rather fragile. And so that's my message. I'm willing to constructively change the bill, but if I have to go back to the task force and explain we did this and this and this and this and this, and tell them why, and they say, well, you've changed what we've done, and then we lose support of task force members, then we're kind of in trouble. And I, for me, this is...this bill is an important bill and it's the most important bill I think the Natural Resources Committee carries this year, and it's just something that needs to be addressed. We need to get our arms around our water issues in this state. And so I'm willing to work with you. I'm looking forward to the debate this afternoon. I think