

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 25, 2004 LB 1049

individual that is working, drawing a monthly check from Social Security, the current status of the law is, is that some of those benefits that they're receiving are factored into the calculation as it relates to how much they get for unemployment insurance.

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Yes, as I understand it.

SENATOR BOURNE: Okay. And you said that you're in strong support of this. So I guess the reason I'm opposed to Senator Louden's amendment, because I think this...that his amendment is contrary to what unemployment insurance is supposed to be for. In my mind, and again, maybe I'm missing it, but I'm trying to look at this in a common-sense way, it seems to me that unemployment insurance should protect those individuals who are laid off, employment is terminated, provide them with some minimum amount of money for a limited period of time so that they can, you know, maintain a home, their car payments, their bank cards, whatever. Is that an accurate assessment of what unemployment insurance is?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: That's what I feel, yes.

SENATOR BOURNE: Okay. So why, if we have a retired individual who is out working--and I know Senator Louden said the reason they're working is because they obviously need money, and I totally appreciate that. If somebody retires on Social Security, the likelihood of them earning a...you know, it's tough to earn a living on just a Social Security check. But why would we allow an individual who is on Social Security, getting a monthly check, to say...say they work for a golf course, and they start in the spring and they mow the golf course. And what Senator Louden's amendment would do is, they collect their check from the golf course, they collect the Social Security check, then they're laid off, say, in August or September, at the end of the season. And what Senator Louden's amendment does is say that even though they're getting their Social Security check, they also get to draw from this fund. And I'm just questioning the appropriateness of that. And you say that you support it, and I'm just trying to understand why. Maybe we have a philosophical difference as to what unemployment insurance