

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 25, 2004 LB 1049

there's so many variables involved. But the one thing you know is not variable is, the first \$7,000 is subject to unemployment insurance costs to the employer, not the employee, to the employer. That rate that you apply to that first \$7,000 can be anywhere from 1.5 percent, I think is probably the lowest right now, on up, to replenish the reserves amongst the entire program, and also amongst the account in a specific business. So I'm not disputing Senator Bourne. He could have a scenario where one company had one person making \$1 million, and in that case that only the first \$7,000 of that \$1 million wage package would be subject to withholding. And if they were at the lowest rate, or at their average rate last year, they'd pay \$133. So be careful how we characterize these numbers, because there's no two businesses going to have the same effect on them with their rates, their reserves, their salaries that are subject to \$7,000. I suppose someone with a whole lot of part-time people would pay the full rate times every employee they had, because they weren't reaching \$7,000 threshold where they're exempt. So that's the point of my speaking, is yes, we can make a set of numbers say this in one case; in a different business, a whole different situation, different circumstances, they'd say something else. So I support the reconsideration motion. I support the underlying amendment, and I would return the rest of my time to the Chair. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you. (Visitors introduced.) On with discussion on the motion to return...or motion to reconsider, rather, the vote taken on AM2291. Senator Bourne, followed by Senators Combs, Brown, and Beutler. Senator Bourne.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you, Mr. President, members. Senator Cunningham, would you yield to a question or two?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Would you yield, Senator?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Yes.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you. And I'm going to keep our conversation specifically to Senator Louden's amendment. And what I understand Senator Louden's amendment to do is that if an individual is on Social Security, meaning they're a retired