

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 24, 2004 LB 1049

be 13, that we still have the structure that allows for, like, 10 to 13, so that there's some discretion on the part of the Department of Labor about how egregious the circumstances are and so that they...that there can be some adjustments for circumstances. As Senator Chambers has talked about, there are certain actions that are not as egregious as others. I would prefer to have an amendment up that would have it be from 10 to 13; adjust the number of weeks, instead of from 7 to 10, from 10 to 13. I think that there's more justification for that because it still allows the Department of Labor discretion. But that amendment is not before us and so I will continue to support the bill. But I think, I really do think, that we are making a choice here between whether we're going to have a solvent system for those people who absolutely need it, who are long-term unemployed, or whether we're going to have a system that provides benefits that are maybe justified, but not as justified as the long-term benefits. Thank you.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Brown. (Visitors introduced.) Senator Bourne.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you, Mr. President, members. Before I begin, I want to correct Senator Chambers on the record. There's really no proof in historical documents, if you study them, Senator Chambers, that Marie Antoinette really said, let them eat cake. That's an urban legend and it's really not accurate, and you might want to pay a little more attention to your reading. (Laughter) That being said, I want to get back to the discussion at hand. Senator Brown, I agreed with every word that she said, and if this bill before us took care of the people scamming the system, I would absolutely support it. But not only does it take care of the scammers, it takes care of the people who legitimately need this help. And again, I want to continue my discussion about the economic development that this really...that unemployment benefits have. And I want to say again, this is something I got off the Internet and it's from an employment law project, and it was a study of the unemployment program in Iowa. I couldn't find a study of the program in Nebraska, so I figured this was as close as it gets. And again, the related goals of the UI program are providing involuntarily employed workers with adequate, temporary income