

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 24, 2004 LB 1049

I got some information off the Internet. It's the first line of defense for workers and their families during economic hard times. Senator Cunningham is simply angry and indignant that people who have been fired or decide to leave unemployment, they somehow shouldn't get compensation at all. But what he's leaving out is the major component of unemployment insurance, and that's the economic stimulus that that money going into the economy does. He says it's a tax on business, and he's absolutely right. Employees do not pay into this. It is a total employer-funded thing. And I think it's like...I'm not sure of the number. I looked at it when we were talking about this earlier. It's a very small number that employers have to pay. But it is totally funded by employers. Let me give you some additional information about who actually qualifies. Because of outdated eligibility rules, many of the workers most in need and hardest to employ do not even qualify for unemployment benefits. In fact, only 43 percent of unemployed workers received unemployment insurance benefits in 2001. Only 43 percent of unemployed workers received the benefits. Most eligibility rules are set at the state level. That's what Senator Cunningham is doing; he's amending our eligibility rules. And they create severe disadvantages for low-income, part-time, and women workers who lose their jobs. For example,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BOURNE: ...about one-fifth of workers are part-time. But in 30 states, workers can't collect unemployment insurance if they can't accept full-time work. In most states, unemployment insurance lasts up to 26 weeks, but more than 40 percent of workers overall never qualify for the 46 weeks. Senator Loudon earlier had said, let's stick to his amendment. So I'll give the last minute to that. I believe unemployment insurance should be for those folks who have no other means of income. I'm not going to support Senator Loudon's amendment, because what he's trying to do is make unemployment available to those individuals who are already receiving Social Security. They already have income, and he is trying to enhance that income for those individuals who are already on Social Security, and I don't think that's right. This program should be narrow,