

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 24, 2004 LB 1049

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you, Mr. President, members. I believe that Senator Cunningham thinks that he's doing the right thing here. But unfortunately, he is snaring the ne'er-do-wells in amongst the people who need this program. Senator Smith talked about a constituent's small business--I assume it's a constituent--a small business, and this person deliberately left employment to...that employment, to just sit on the couch, I guess. That individual should not get unemployment insurance. Absolutely not. But Senator Cunningham's bill doesn't distinguish between the situation that Senator Smith is talking about and the situation that I'm talking about. Senator Cunningham, you are an employer. Say you have somebody that...say business is bad. And you're exactly right. I'm not going to ask you a question, I'm just going to give you a hypothetical. Say business is bad; you have to lay somebody off. That's the type of person that I think unemployment insurance was considering when the program was developed back in 1935. That's when unemployment insurance came along, in 1935, along with the Social Security Act, to provide assistance for people who lost their jobs during the greatest depression the country has ever faced. But I will tell you that not all small business...not all business people do this, but if a businessperson decides to terminate someone that...who should be laid off, say the don't have the work, if they lay them off they collect unemployment, if they terminate them then they wouldn't, under Senator Cunningham's bill, for 13 weeks. Now they have to wait 7 to 10 weeks. So what I'm saying is, is that Senator Cunningham's bill is so broad that it snares those people that should have unemployment insurance. The constituent that I talked about, how she indicated to me the process work is automatically she got a 10-week waiver...or a 10-week time period that she couldn't qualify. And I don't know if she made a mistake or if the Department of Labor made a mistake, but somehow she did not know she could appeal. And she didn't appeal. And when she finally realized it--I don't know what happened, maybe somebody told her--she contacted me, and I looked into it, and the time period had passed. So she had to wait 10 weeks. But anyway, let's try and explore what unemployment insurance is about. And again, I mentioned that it was set up in the 1930s, 1935, and it provides prompt wage replacement for laid off workers. That was how it was designed.