

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 24, 2004 LB 1049

collecting...creating a situation to collect benefits that were not...the program was not constructed to pay out? Just because we're the state of Nebraska that's running this program doesn't mean we should allow for fraud. We've heard some examples from my brother in the graveled gears, Senator Smith. And I have to agree that we've heard many, many others also. We heard from Senator Chambers about an unfortunate situation that hopefully the administrative law judge will take into consideration and properly award what is due in that case. But I challenge you, take a look, if you haven't clicked on the gadget, the fiscal note for this bill. I've got a bill laying in Revenue right now that I got down to 800,000 bucks for my homestead exemption for the low-income elderly, and it's still laying there and won't come out because of that fiscal note. Folks, this saves \$4.5 million the first year, \$4.7 million the second year, for a total of \$9.2 million in two years. And get this, it is only for changes to disqualifications under the unemployment insurance law of the disqualification period 13...to 7 to 10, for just voluntarily leaving work, being fired for misconduct, or for not being available for work. Does not address the catastrophic and unexpected loss of a job that would be thrust upon you when your employer had to shut down shop or for some reason you lost your job. And I believe that was the original intent of this program. We have taken a program with a good intent and turned it into an entitlement program. How many of us have heard of people who say, I'm just going to...they can count the days to the rocking chair, they know how many days it takes to get in their base number of weeks, and work the system? It is not right. I talked this morning to an eye surgeon who had one of his technicians who voluntarily quit. He was left with filling that position, would have welcomed her back if she would have just come, and everyone else in the office is left to pick up the pieces. That is an intangible business expense that's not even addressed here, folks. And that is, when the rest of us who are left, like I have been in my jobs, to pick up the pieces for the people who want to move to another state, create a spouse, who took a job for more pay, and from what I understand from what I talked to someone last night, that situation happened, and the person did not have a spouse and it wasn't even verified. And there's a couple of witnesses here that can even vouch for that situation. So let's please stop