

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 24, 2004 LB 1049

this person actually filed on the last employer, who wanted to keep the employee. I mean, the employer had no option but to have an unemployment claim on her record, on this small business owner's record, because the employee voluntarily quit and then filed unemployment. And I understand that LB 1049 is not going to put an end to some of these situations. But I know there are other situations. This is not an isolated case. But it seeks, I think, in a very reasonable manner--it doesn't put a ban, an all-out ban on unemployment benefits for those who voluntarily leave their employment. But we have other issues too. I think of a seasonal employment, where--at least, I'm looking for a solution to this, in that someone would accept a seasonal job, work that season, knowing that it was going to terminate at the end of the season, and then go file unemployment, go on unemployment for as long as they can, and then the seasonal job starts up again, takes that seasonal job, works through the season, knowing that it's going to terminate, and then goes and files unemployment at the end of that, meanwhile filing unemployment claims on the seasonal employer who offered the job as a seasonal situation, and in...to me, we have a scenario here that I don't believe is what we intended. And we can, I think, advance the Cunningham bill that is very reasonable and can accommodate small business in as efficient a manner as possible. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Smith. Senator Combs.

SENATOR COMBS: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the body. And I have to say that I do rise in support of the Cunningham bill. I failed to get up and talk the last time it was up, and I really wanted to, because I thought, well, it's the amendment, I'm not going to really speak on that. But then I lost my opportunity to talk for two weeks. So I really want to address the bill. I believe it is important, and here's why. Because I think what we have done with this program over the years is that we have taken a program intended for a catastrophic situation that happens, to replace income from an unexpected and uncontrolled loss of employment, and turned it into an entitlement program. And we all just want to float along and say, that's fine. Does any other insurance company, BlueCross BlueShield, for instance, do they put up with fraud and