

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 24, 2004 LB 1049

SENATOR SCHIMEK: And essentially, as you and I have looked at that bill, which became an amendment, as we've looked at that, that really covered anybody who was just a member of the Air National, Army National Guard, correct?

SENATOR VRTISKA: That's right. That's...that was included in the amendment that was attached. That's right.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Right. And the distinction between that bill and amendment from mine is that mine only speaks to those who are in international conflict or national emergency. So it would probably narrow the pool we're talking about a little bit.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Well, to some degree. But when you say international conflict or national emergency, that almost...it's almost, nearly the same, wouldn't you say?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Well, I wouldn't, but I don't know if I'm right or not. It might be good for...maybe I will check with the Department of Labor and John Albin to get a clarification on this. But the way I'm interpreting it was, it...this would apply to a smaller pool of people, not necessarily to all the members of the Air National Guard or Army National Guard.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Well, the only reason I said that is because when you take into account an emergency, a national emergency, I guess it's whatever the interpretation is. It would appear that anybody that's in the National Guard was subject to a national emergency. Isn't that correct? You should probably talk with somebody who can interpret that.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: I think that would probably be a good idea, Senator. But the impact, I think, is similar, but...

SENATOR VRTISKA: Well, I'm sure that...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: ...may not be exactly the same. So I will do a little bit of checking on that.

SENATOR VRTISKA: All right.