

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 24, 2004 LB 1049

didn't even try to appeal because the time period had expired. So she did have to wait 10 weeks. And I told you that, you know, she's almost lost her home. She has gotten behind on her credit cards. The utilities were threatening to be cut off. And again, MUD and OPPD were very...they worked with her, they were very kind, and set her up on a payment program, and that part of her life is squared away. She called me last week, and she has exhausted her benefits. She only received 16 weeks of benefits. So she is off unemployment now and still doesn't have a job. I've told this story to other people, and they say, well, surely you can find a job. Everybody can find a job if they really want one; she just doesn't want to work. Well, I can tell you that I have seen countless rejection letters that she's received. She tells me every day that she goes out and applies for two or three jobs. Now, I would imagine that she's trying to find a job that give her the same income that she had received before she was terminated, before she left employment. And I don't know that she's had any offers of any kind. But she is still continuing to look for a job. And it's a tough situation. On General File, I told you about the recent Hilton hiring. They had a job fair, it was a two- or three-day job fair for cooks' jobs, dishwashers' jobs, pursers' jobs at the new Hilton hotel that's going up in Omaha. They have 300 jobs there; they had 2,300 people apply; 2,300 people apply for 300 jobs. So when...it's easy for all of us who have a job to say, oh, there's employment, there's work if you want it. Well, I think the proof is there that it is a little more difficult to obtain employment than we all think. And so I'm really struggling. I understand what Senator Cunningham is trying to do. But I'm struggling, is that right? And again, on General File he said, well, we shouldn't let somebody who is a terrible employee leave employment and then immediately qualify for benefits. And he's absolutely right. But we already have in statute a mechanism where they wait 10 weeks, and then if they appeal it then they can get benefits after 7 weeks. So there already is a mechanism in statute that deals with people who perhaps aren't the best employees that are terminated. So I don't...I think that applying it or making it stretch out to 13 weeks, I think that's more than just a corrective measure to the system; I think that's punitive, I think that's piling on. And that's my personal opinion. And I absolutely understand what