

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 23, 2004 LR 14

Brown spoke earlier that we're debating separate issues here today. And I don't believe we are, although I think we could be if the members who do not want more casinos across...or don't want any casinos across Nebraska were not intimidated by the initiatives. Perhaps intimidation is the wrong word, but certainly you feel moved by the petitions to put something on the ballot because the people want to vote. And Senator Brown says, you know, this is...it's not the question of if we get gambling, it's how we get it. Now, that's assuming that these absolutely ridiculous petition measures (A) get enough signatures, and (B) get on the ballot, and (C) are adopted by the vote of the people. And it goes back to, be careful what you wish for, in terms of the petitions, because they might be wishing for something to be on the ballot, and it's going to be tenfold. And the voters are more likely to say, no, no, no, no, no, and that will include Senator Schimek's objective of bringing slot machines to Indian reservations in Nebraska. I understand her efforts. I think that the efforts...let's say that Nebraska had more...or had casinos across the state. I ask the question of, what does that do to Indian gaming that's already in existence? I ask the question of, what does that do to other charitable gaming that I would argue is going to several good causes without the expensive, addictive issues that slot machines would bring about across Nebraska, that would sap up the revenues that would exist for...or with...that would exist if we had casinos that the Las Vegas folks are dangling the carrot out there, look at these hundreds of millions of dollars that you could have in Nebraska. And certainly, last I checked, Iowa was facing budgetary difficulties; South Dakota was as well. South Dakota nearly repealed their expanded gaming in casino operations across the state, to where every single convenience store doesn't have a casino on one side of the building. When you look at the amendment, the Schimek amendment that proposes actually three things, very different even from my amendment, AM2670, because my amendment has to do with the five-year renewal. It's not even the initial approval, but rather the five-year renewal. And I'll say that initial or local voter approval within Senator Schimek's amendment is okay, but certainly the other two pieces I don't believe are germane, at least in the...