

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 23, 2004 LR 14

I believe that we have to grab control of this issue. We have to manage it. We have to be the ones. And if we're going to argue whether gambling is good, that argument needed to have taken place a long time ago. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Brown. Senator Quandahl.

SENATOR QUANDAHL: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the body. In looking at these two different amendments, Senator Smith's amendment and then also Senator Schimek's amendment to that amendment, it does appear, at least to me, that we're dealing with a couple of different issues. The Senator Smith amendment just went to the question of county approval of any sort of a gambling establishment in the county, whereas my understanding of the Schimek amendment goes further and deals with the question of the number of casinos that would be allowed in the entire state, that being going from a number of up to eight casinos down to five casinos. And that would be an additional, I guess, aspect that would be added to the Smith amendment that I do think deals with two separate issues. And so for that reason, I would rise to support Senator Smith's motion to overrule the Chair. I do plan on voting for that. I don't feel that Senator Schimek's amendment to Senator Smith's amendment is germane, just for those reasons, in that it expanded, basically, a one-shot, a fairly narrowly drafted amendment that dealt just simply with the county approval of casino gambling in a specific county, to embracing a much larger issue, an additional issue of the number of casinos in the entire state of Nebraska, and that going from a number of eight to five. And I do understand that there is some discussion going on, almost like an auction of, well, if you don't like the number eight, what number do you like? I've heard eight, I've heard six, I've heard five, I've heard three. I was one of the people last week that voted for Senator Smith's amendment that would bring it down to one, and there were 19 of us that thought that that was a good idea. And so I suppose the question then becomes where that number is, somewhere between eight and one, or somewhere between eight and zero. And I'm not sure exactly where that line is, but one thing that I'm fairly certain is, is it's probably not five, because the difference between eight and five, at least in my mind, really is negligible. But just to