

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 23, 2004 LR 14

make no apologies for raising some issues. And we heard last week how these issues contained in my amendment, AM2670, would not be appropriate in the constitution. That concerns me a bit, when I believe that people want to know what they're voting on, should it reach the ballot. We have so many other issues. But it boils down to the point where expanding of gambling, is that appropriate? And then people...you put something vague on the ballot, and they're going to think that their neighborhood poker game is legal, regardless of what the stakes are. That's not the case. But I would imagine that there will be this promotion around the state that says, let's legalize gambling, and people might vote yes because they think they can have more fun in their own neighborhood. But when we look closer at the issues of what's happened around the country, there are some situations that I think certainly bear mentioning. And in Wisconsin there was a referendum on expanding gambling at a bingo hall in Madison's southeast side. It failed, with more than 64 percent voting against it. Now, this happened to involve the Ho-Chunk Tribe, that spent 40 to 1 on campaign funding. The opponents to gambling were outspent 40 to 1, and the opponents to the casinos still won; 40 to 1. The Ho-Chunk spent over \$1 million, \$1.3 million. Actually, that was midway through the campaign, and probably spent even more than that. The county executive up there even supported the casino, said the money will be missed at budget time, but she respects the wishes of the voters. But let's go back to the money issue. And I heard on the radio this weekend that the Kimball mayor said that his proposal would generate \$100 million for the state of Nebraska. I think that's a bit farfetched myself. But if it generates that much revenue, I learned long ago that if it sounds too good to be true, it's probably not true. And to think that government would just leverage \$100 million off of a ballot measure, without any other consequences whatsoever? First question is, where does the \$100 million go? Now, the mayor of Kimball wants it to stay in local government. It's understandable. He's a locally elected official. But let's talk about those vendors. The people who are pouring money into this issue in our state are from out of state, generally speaking. I think we're up to 11 Nevada casinos, Vegas casinos that are lobbying hard to have casinos here in Nebraska; 11. They certainly don't qualify under the charitable gaming issue, although they're trying to act