

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 20, 2004 LB 906

SENATOR JENSEN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Where we strike "6,000 hours," we insert "of training and experience."

SENATOR JENSEN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Correct?

SENATOR JENSEN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: With the 6,000 hours, we only dealt with experience, so we are adding a substantive change by putting "training" along with experience as what is going to be required. Do you agree that's what the amendment does?

SENATOR JENSEN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: The department or the board is going to determine how much experience or how much training is necessary. Which of the two will make that determination, HHS or the Board of Cosmetology?

SENATOR JENSEN: HHS.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If we simply adopt the amendment that you're proposing to us, HHS could draw up a regulation that would say 6,000 hours of training and experience. They could reinstate what we're striking, correct?

SENATOR JENSEN: That is correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: What could we do to make it clear that we...strike that. I won't interrogate you, Senator Jensen. I think I'm just going to kind of woolgather and discuss what is on my mind with reference to this. I am glad that the amendment actually strikes the 6,000 hours, but I want to be sure that this is not what's known as a Pyrrhic victory--you shoot your wad, you seem to have won, but you gave so much to the effort that you had nothing left and you cannot win the battle...you