

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 20, 2004 LB 906

SPEAKER BROMM: Members, the question before us is the adoption of floor amendment section FA1472. All in favor signify by voting aye, and opposed nay. Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of FA1472.

SPEAKER BROMM: It is adopted. The next item, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Jensen would move to amend with the second component of the divided question as FA1473. (Legislative Journal page 711.)

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Jensen, to open on this section of the amendment.

SENATOR JENSEN: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This section deals with that 6,000 hours, and it really strikes that requirement out of there and it says, as may be directed...or licensed by the department. The department would determine the training, as it says in line 21, and then also it also concerns that section that's talking about the apprentice. So the department will decide what requirement, if any, needs to be there for those who are grandfathered into the act. That's what the section does, primarily. Be glad to answer any questions. Thank you, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Jensen. Senator Bourne.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you, Mr. President, members. And, again, I'm trying to isolate what exactly...what the exact harm we're trying to prevent here. And if Senator Combs...and, again, I hate to keep asking you, but I do appreciate your expertise in this area. So when we had our earlier discussion, so you think that there is more to it than simple...more to our protecting the public than simply saying that all...a tattoo artist has to have training in all aspects of needle care. You think there's more to our regulation. There's more to what we need to protect the public from than just simple needle care.

SENATOR COMBS: Yeah, if we're going to...