

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 20, 2004 LR 14

here that says South Dakota, if they repealed gambling, that would free up about \$100 million, they speculate, depending on how you look at it, but that would...that would take \$100 million currently being spent across South Dakota and put it back into other areas of the economy where you're not going to have Vegas taking some out, typically. They're not the ones who own retail establishments on main street, small town, South Dakota. And so it's putting...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR SMITH: ...that money back in. And we might argue over some of the details of the Bush economic plan,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time, Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH: ...but I think we can all agree that the more money we have in the economy...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time, Senator Smith. I'm sorry. Time is up.

SENATOR SMITH: Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Kremer and Senator Smith. Senator Kruse, I believe your light was next.

SENATOR KRUSE: Senator Cudaback and colleagues, thank you. I rise to support Senator Smith's amendment. It seems to me that if we were to go ahead with this kind of an authorization and the people were to do it, that the local community should have a right to defend itself, and it's going to need defense. There is no evidence abroad that the local people are going to benefit by this. Dr. Goss has summarized it in a fairly dramatic fashion in a much-quoted item to the merchants of the Old Market in Omaha, that it will be like dropping a neutron bomb in Omaha if a casino comes there; clear out a block of eight blocks wide, sucking out all of the other businesses, I would presume, is the image that he is showing us. So the local county should have a chance to say no to being hurt. My concern with the amendment, and, you know, I don't see any way to go beyond it, is that the greater harm is to the counties surrounding Douglas County, if