

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 20, 2004 LR 14

SENATOR SMITH: Thank you, Mr. President and members. Senator Schimek did raise an issue that I guess I would go back to say that if you want to work out the time or the time frame of a vote that a county could take, certainly trailer legislation could address that. And I don't, although I said yesterday that I would want a county perhaps as (laugh) Senator Louden very appropriately pointed out, that a county could establish themselves as a casino-unfriendly county early on, before the Vegas folks even come in and dangle the carrot and, like I said, yesterday the kidnapers give the kids some candy to lure them in. I want the county to be able to probably establish their own time line, and so that's certainly my intent, but I'm not seeking to outline the exact time frame in the state constitution. But I want to go back to what I started to talk about last time, and that is that I will predict that, should LR 14CA make it to the ballot, and should it be ultimately adopted on the ballot for eight casinos, I will predict right now that there will be members of this body that will say do we have a mandate for eight casinos? We have a mandate for anything more than zero, even though that's legislative prerogatives. So I hope that we don't emphasize the fact that there's all this legislative prerogative out there that I doubt very seriously would be exercised. I can predict right now that, should LR 14CA make it to the ballot and ultimately pass, that there will be a push, primarily from behind the glass, to say, here's where we need eight casinos, here's where they will go, and then we will have, I think, an unfortunate situation. And that's why I go back to my amendment yesterday that is one casino, and wherever it is, that's to be determined. There could be some terms of that that would be different in differing geographic areas across the state, but certainly I believe that we should be upright with the voters. Now, as we started to discuss yesterday in with my intent and so forth and my running the risk of making what I think is a bad bill a little better with some of these amendments that I have, and I don't expect all of them to be adopted because some of them actually conflict on certain points but I wanted to file those for public disclosure, but I run the risk of making a bad bill better, not only for the purposes of the body, but for the purposes of the ballot. My record in this body indicates that I support the