

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 19, 2004 LR 11, 14

keeping money in Nebraska, whether it's LR 14CA, whether it's LR 11CA, or one of the petition drives currently underway. Senator Schimek talked about the Legislature repealing the seat belt law. No, the people repealed the seat belt law by a vote on the ballot and now we're seeing efforts to go against the will of the people several years ago...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR SMITH: ...to put seat belts, a primary offense seat belt law back on the books. And when we look at eight casinos, there is an element of the unknown, and I'm not saying I don't trust the body, Speaker Bromm. I...that's not the case at all. I believe that FA1469 would be more obvious to the voters what they are voting on. I have some other amendments that seek to make that even more evident but definitely I believe that the voters deserve to know exactly what they're voting on. And one casino is certainly, should LR 14CA reach the ballot, one casino is certainly more specific than eight. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Smith. Senator Jones, on the Smith amendment.

SENATOR JONES: Mr. President, members of the body, I just want to stand up and make a few comments. I haven't weighed in on this gambling at all. This is my 12th year down here and I have never supported the gambling at all in any time. And I haven't had a lot of people from my district tell me I should support it. So I have never supported anything, but the more I look at this here amendment of Smith's, I think it would make a clearer picture out there across the state actually of what they're getting to support that, but that's no sign I'm going to support the bill any after that. But I just wanted to make them comments and tell you that other states that have it, I've talked to them on both sides of us, and they've got problems and I just don't know whether we want them kind of problems. I know we need the revenue. I know it's supposed to be a revenue generator, but then how come I heard a couple of years ago that South Dakota paid out more than they took in? That's kind of confusing to me because it does cost. And I hope that if there