

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 18, 2004 LB 999

practitioners involved in that, in addition to Bill Marienau, a committee counsel, is also included in that discussion group, or the study group. And these...actually, the changes of the Uniform Trust Code are discussed in a Law Review article that Professor Gradwohl and Professor Lyons and I believe Professor Volkmer also had a hand in that too. It's yet to be published, but they would go through these changes. So yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: I'm just a little bit concerned by the provision on the top of page 12, because...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time. Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Quandahl, on the committee amendments.

SENATOR QUANDAHL: Thank you, Mr. President. And I'll continue the conversation with Senator Beutler, and I'd yield my time to him. I think he was going to say that he had concern with some of the language at the top of page 12? So if you could explain...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Thank you, Senator. The provision at the top of page 12 seems to shift the burden of determining a fair or unfair exculpatory clause to counsel for the trustor. In other words, if an elderly gentleman who wants to make some final arrangements for his property comes in and asks the bank to draft something for him, and the bank puts into that draft form a clause that exonerates them, exempts them from negligence or liability of one type or another, under the current law, regardless of whether that person was represented by counsel, the trustee, in order to have this clause freeing the bank from negligence, the trustee would have to prove that that term was fair under the circumstances, and that its existence and contents were adequately communicated to the settlor, or to the person who came in and asked for the trust agreement. Now it's proposed to change the law so that that wouldn't be the case if the settlor was represented by independent legal counsel. And so are...is what we're saying is, whether the exculpatory clause is fair or unfair, if legal counsel for the individual approves it, it will be effective? Is that what we're saying now?

SENATOR QUANDAHL: I guess I'd hate to put it that bluntly, but