

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 18, 2004 LB 963

trying...we're...the attempt is here to make those consistent with...the state of Nebraska Guard is consistent with the federal law that's already in place. I don't know how else you can do it in order to accomplish the mission that the National Guard is attempting to do. And each one of these bills in some way reflects that attitude. So I'm going to give you some of my time so that you can continue to explore what you consider our concerns and I will be willing to assist any way I can in order to get this bill moved because I think it's important we do that this year. And I just wanted to make the comment that you know this article you sent around obviously is correct except that the people who they're addressing in this issue were actually part-time guard members, not full-time. So there is a difference in the way this is being handled. I'm going to give the rest of my time to you and let you continue, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Senator Vrtiska. Thank you, Mr. President. Members of the Legislature, Senator Vrtiska is absolutely correct when he says that the green copy of the bill attempts to bring into state law provisions that exist in the federal law. But if National Guardspersons are federalized, they then are covered by this Servicemembers Act. We are not talking in this bill with federalizing the National Guard because the state cannot do that anyway. This bill is talking about a situation where the guard members are put on duty that might be referred to as state active duty. They are not fighting an enemy which is foreign nor are they fighting an enemy which is domestic; two categories of enemies covered by federal law and the U.S. Constitution. So what we're looking at here is strictly National Guardspersons serving in a state capacity, because when they are put in this situation, the period of time for which they are in that status is so brief that we need not bring all of these provisions from the federal law into the state law. If there were a bioterrorist attack or any other terrorist attack on a broad scale and it required the mobilization of the National Guard, the guard would be federalized. And when the guard was federalized, it would be under the control of the U.S. government. The U.S. government