

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 18, 2004 LB 963

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But that...but I wanted to phrase the question so whoever out in the hall is responding will know what the question is and they can bring it, and now I will continue my discussion. Members of the Legislature, I have not thoroughly analyzed this bill yet. As was pointed out by Senator Vrtiska and we touched on it several times, what we have before us with the adoption of the committee amendment, which was done, three bills. The green copy was the first bill. The second bill that was added by way of the committee amendment dealt with the Adjutant General being able to engage in federal work pursuant to federal orders and be able to collect pay from both the federal government and the state government at the same time. The second bill dealt with some insurance matters and that has not been discussed yet. I did talk to some people from the National Guard before this bill was introduced and I told them that based on what they indicated to me about certain portions of this Servicemembers Relief Act that exists at the federal level did not seem unreasonable. I was told that what I was presented at that time was not the final form of the bill. It was a draft. I told them that...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...with the busy schedule we have in the Legislature I will not then spend any time on this, I will wait and see what the bill says. My first encounter with the bill was when it came before us the other day. As I look at the multitude of items that are to be brought from the federal Servicemembers Relief Act into the state law, I am not willing to go along with all of those provisions. I will put my light on because I think this time is going to run out before I can complete it. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. You've heard the opening on FA1467. Open for discussion, Senator Vrtiska.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the body. Senator Chambers, you touched on some issues that I think certainly need some discussion. But the problem that I see is that many of the things you're objecting to or having concerns about are actually in federal law and they are being