

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 17, 2004 LB 963

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But we don't expect that to ever happen, do we? We don't expect to ever have a person who had been commissioned in the regular army to come into the National Guard and be suitable for this position. That will never happen. That's not likely to ever happen, is it?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Senator, you're...you're being facetious, correct? (Laugh)

SENATOR CHAMBERS: As Colonel Patton...General Patton said, that's for me to know and my foes to find out or speculate about.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: (Laugh) Of course, somebody could. I don't know whether that would happen very often or not, but of course they could come in and be fully qualified. But I don't imagine that would be a very regular occurrence.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Schimek,...oh, I see Senator Preister. Let me ask Senator Preister this question. Senator Preister, front and center. (Laugh)

SENATOR PREISTER: Senator Chambers, Senator Preister reporting for duty.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Preister, has, to your knowledge and recollection, has the National Guard, as an entity, been handled the way it currently is being handled in the Iraq situation?

SENATOR PREISTER: It's unique in that in the past we did have a draft and people were called for duty or volunteered. In the Guard and in the reserves, we're seeing people who are being called to duty in a way that they did not offer to serve but were subjected to be served as.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And this is not...what's happening now is not the way it was actually being done in the past. Would you agree with that?

SENATOR PREISTER: I would agree with that.