

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 17, 2004 LB 963

shorter.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Well, we could do that on line 19, after "National Guard," and then say, immediately prior to...who...no, immediately prior to appointment, he or she shall have attained at least the grade of.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I don't think we need that.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: You could do it that way, if you wanted to.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'll look at that on Select File.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And I'll have a chance to talk to you. So I won't offer those...that amendment. But, members of the Legislature, if we've got existing statutory language and it is bunglesome and it is difficult to get the sense of what is being said because the sentence is too long, then why don't we just...

SPEAKER BROMM: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...restructure the sentence? We don't have to leave bunglesome language in the statute. We are crafting new law here and we can deal with anything that comes before us. So if the existing sentence is too long, then we can change it. We can shorten it. But I don't think it would be too long, even if we started it on line 15 and ended it on line 21. I have read some literary productions and a sentence may go on for half a page, but there is not a continuous or continual referring back to what went before. The sentence is linear in the sense that...

SPEAKER BROMM: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...each new segment...thank you, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Schimek. Senator Schimek waives off. Senator Beutler, you're recognized